

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Service Agency
Washington, DC 20250

Notice CM-477

For: State and County Offices

Potentially Erroneous 2003 CRP Reduction Acres

Approved by: Acting Deputy Administrator, Farm Programs

Bradley Karmen

1 Overview

A Background

When the 2002 reconstitution software was reopened after 2003 farm record rollover, a problem existed that affected farms with CRP reduction acres. When a farm division was done, the CRP reduction acres were erroneously distributed to all resulting farms instead of the resulting farm that should have received the CRP reduction acres. An edit will be processed during 2004 farm records rollover to remove these erroneous CRP reduction acres.

This edit will remove all CRP reduction acres that are not supported by a CRP contract; however, there may be some situations where a CRP contract exists on the parent farm, but the contract was never revised to reflect the resulting farm number after the reconstitution was approved. If the CRP contract is not revised on these farms before 2004 farm records rollover, the CRP reduction acres may be erroneously removed.

A report has been developed to assist County Offices in identifying farms that will need to have the CRP contract corrected in the system.

B Purpose

This notice provides:

- a sample of the report that will be used to identify farms that may need to have the CRP contract revised
- instructions for handling the farms printed on the report.

Disposal Date	Distribution
December 1, 2003 8-13-03	State Offices; State Offices relay to County Offices

2 Report MID932-R001

A Printing Report MID932-R001

Report MID932-R001 will be printed automatically during installation of County Release No. 518.

B Report Format

The following is a sample of Report MID932-R001. This report will provide:

- farm number
- crop
- CRP reduction acres.

MINNESOTA FARIBAULT Report ID: MID932-R001	USDA-FSA POTENTIALLY ERRONEOUS 2003 CRP REDUCTION ACRES	Prepared: 07-29-2003 Page: 1

This report will identify farms that have no 2003 farm-level CRP cropland but have 2003 farm-level CRP reduction acres.		

FARM	CROP	CRP REDUCTION ACRES

7116	wheat	2.0
7117	wheat	2.0
7123	Oats	3.2
	wheat	0.4
7124	Oats	3.2
	wheat	0.4
7125	Corn	8.6
7126	Corn	8.6
7160	Barley	5.5
	Corn	1.0
	wheat	27.2
7161	Barley	5.5
	Corn	1.0
	wheat	27.2
7337	wheat	6.1
7338	wheat	6.1
7340	wheat	6.1
7341	wheat	6.1

3 County Office Action

A Review Data on the Report

County Offices shall review the farms on the report and use existing CRP records to determine whether the farm should have a CRP contract. If a CRP contract needs to be corrected in the system, the County Office shall correct the CRP contract with the resulting farm number according to 1-CRP. If a CRP contract does not need to be corrected in the system, no action is necessary by the County Office. The County Office should manually annotate the action taken for each farm printed on the report.

4 Examples

A Example 1

The following apply to example 1:

- farm 100 was divided into farms 7116 and 7117 in 2002
- farm 100 had 2.0 acres of wheat CRP reduction
- 2.0 acres of wheat CRP reduction should have gone to farm 7116
- 0.0 acres of wheat CRP reduction should have gone to farm 7117
- 2.0 acres of wheat CRP reduction were given to farms 7116 and 7117
- the CRP contract was not corrected for farm 7116 after the 2002 farm division.

The County Office must correct the CRP contract in the system for resulting farm 7116 according to 1-CRP so that the CRP reduction acres will be supported. No other action is necessary because there should be no CRP contract on farm 7117. Once rollover is complete and the edit is run, the CRP reduction acres will remain on farm 7116 but will be removed from farm 7117.

B Example 2

The following apply to example 2:

- farm 345 was divided into farms 7160 and 7161 in 2002
- farm 345 had 5.5 acres of barley, 1.0 acres of corn, and 27.2 acres of wheat CRP reduction
- 5.5 acres of barley CRP reduction should have gone to farm 7160
- 1.0 acre of corn and 27.2 acres of wheat CRP reduction should have gone to farm 7161
- both farms were given 5.5 acres of barley, 1.0 acre of corn, and 27.2 acres of wheat CRP reduction
- CRP contracts were not corrected for farms 7160 or 7161 after the farm division.

4 Examples (Continued)

B Example 2 (Continued)

The County Office must correct the CRP contracts in the system for resulting farms 7160 and 7161 so that the CRP reduction acres will be supported. Once rollover is complete and the edit is run, the CRP reduction acres will remain on farms 7160 and 7161.

C Example 3

The following apply to example 3:

- farm 500 was divided into farms 7337, 7338, 7339, 7340, and 7341 in 2002
- farm 500 had 6.1 acres of wheat CRP reduction
- 6.1 acres of wheat CRP reduction should have gone to farm 7339
- 0.0 acres of wheat CRP reduction should have gone to farms 7337, 7338, 7340, and 7341
- 6.1 acres of wheat CRP reduction were given to all resulting farms
- the CRP contract was corrected for farm 7339 after the 2002 farm division.

No action is necessary because the CRP contract was already corrected in the system for resulting farm 7339 and there should be no CRP contract on farms 7337, 7338, 7340, and 7341. Once rollover is complete and the edit is run, the CRP reduction acres will remain on farm 7339 but will be removed from farms 7337, 7338, 7340, and 7341.